2.9 The Netherlands

Pamala Wiepking³⁵

the Netherlands is the Giving in the Netherlands study, conducted by the Data sources for household donations in the Netherlands VU University Amsterdam. In 1993, the Giving in the Netherlands study was The main data source for household donations to charitable organizations in

charitable lotteries has been conducted every two years. by households, companies, charitable organizations, foundations, and

initiated by Theo Schuyt, and since then a survey on giving and volunteering

annual panel study on household donations, GINPS. GINPS consists of a on the charitable deductions used by households. available in 2009. Another source of information on household donations 1,400 households. Four waves are currently available: 2001 (GINPS01), 2003 longitudinal database with information on donations made by approximately Service). The Dutch Revenue Service provides tax records with information that recently became available is the Dutch Belastingdienst (Revenue (GINPS03), and 2005 (GINPS05). The fourth wave, GINPS07, has become In 2001, the Giving in the Netherlands study evolved into a bi-

Table Streng GINP

ble 2.9.1 Strengths and weaknesses of the Dutch data sources	the Dutch data sources
engths	Weaknesses
NPS	
Longitudinal study	 Low to middle high income
Excellent questions on giving	range
(adaptation of 'Method+Area'	
module)	who participate regularly in
Large range of background	survey research)

Tax records

characteristics

- Longitudinal data
- Complete income range
 - Includes only itemizers
- Small range of background characteristics

³⁵ Pamala Wiepking, Department of Philanthropic Studies, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, P.Wiepking@fsw.vu.nl, +31 (0)20 598 69

The Netherlands

Descriptive statistics

education and research, culture, and sports and recreation. donations from Dutch households are organizations active in the fields of environment, nature and animal protection sector, and the public and social benefits sector. Recipient organizations receiving the lowest total of international aid sector, organizations active in the health sector, the the most money. These are followed by organizations active in the ideology and religious institutions such as churches, mosques, etc.) received Religious organizations (including organizations supporting religious different charitable subsectors in the Netherlands, for the period 1995-2005 Table 2.9.2 shows total household donations (in millions of euros) to In 2005, the total amount donated to charitable causes was 1.9 billion euros.

Table 2.9.2 Charitable giving by households in the Netherlands, 1995-2005

0,1	8 27 44	CHOTTOTO	8. H. B. D. Tronscriotes III file Incritations, 1993-700.	TACTICITY	ands, 19	CO07-CA
Charitable subsector		Househo	Household donations (in	ons (in n	millions of euros)	f euros)
	1995	1997	1999	2001	2003	200 5
Religion	574	482	429	694	864	704
International aid	290	229	328	367	304	439
Health	260	136	245	236	283	234
Environment/nature	102	96	128	134	154	158
/animals						
Public and social benefits	86	83	129	172	142	166
Other (not specified)	42	25	41	69	49	61
Education/research	21	26	21	31	44	ر در
Culture	13	22	23	33	22	ယ္
Sports/recreation	30	22	70	52	37	29
Total	1,419	1,121	1,414	1,788	1,899	1.854
Source: GINPS05 (2005)						

significant). However, overall there was a steady increase in total donations decreased slightly between 2003 and 2005 (though this decrease is not to charitable organizations in the Netherlands in the period between 1995 The numbers in table 2.9.2 show that the donations by Dutch households

account, the result that only 30% of the Dutch households donated to of the population considers themselves religiously affiliated. Taking this into protection sector. Thirty-eight percent of the Dutch households donated to public and social benefits, which is comparable to the percentage of religion seems rather meagre. Recipient organizations active in the field of households that donated to international aid. In the Netherlands, about 45% of the Dutch households donated to the environment, nature and animal six percent of the Dutch households donated to health in 2007. Almost half most households donate to organizations active in the health sector. Seventyrecipient organizations in different charitable subsectors. It is apparent that Table 2.9.3 shows the percentage of households that donate to

> donations from the lowest percentage of Dutch households. sports and recreation, culture and arts, and education and research receive

the lowest average donations. Rotary). Organizations active in the public and social benefits sector receive highest amounts to religious organizations. Recipient organizations in the using donating households only. On average, Dutch households donate the mean household donation to a particular charitable subsector, calculated Netherlands this category also includes service organizations such as the 'other' category receive the second-highest average donations (in the In addition to the percentage of donors, table 2.9.3 also shows the

to different charitable subsectors in the Netherlands in 2007 (n=1,777) Table 2.9.3 Percentage households and mean amount donated for donations

	% households	Mean donation among
	that donated	donating households (in
		euros)
Health	75.8	42.74
Environment/nature/animals	48.2	49.13
Public and social benefits	38.2	23.47
International aid	36.6	68.22
Religion	29.8	351.90
Sports/recreation	14.3	38.09
Culture	9.9	30.81
Education/research	6.2	37.34
Other (not specified)	0.6	112.09
Total	86.1	239.11
Source: GINPS07 (2007)		

References

Bekkers, R. & Schuyt, T.N.M. (forthcoming). 'And Who is Your Neighbor? Explaining the Effect of Religion on Charitable Giving and Volunteering.' Review of Religious Research.

Bekkers, R. & Psychology Quarterly. Dispositional Empathic Concern, and the Principle of Care'. Social Wilhelm, M.O. (forthcoming). 'Helping Behavior,

Bekkers, R. & Bowman, W. (forthcoming). 'The Relationship Between Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. Confidence in Charitable Organizations and Volunteering Revisited'

Bekkers, R. (forthcoming). 'Straight From the Heart'. In: Advances in Medical Publishing. Sociology: Patients, Consumers and Civil Society: US and International Perspectives, edited by Susan Chambré and Melinda Goldner. Emerald

The Netherlands

51

Bekkers, R. & Veldhuizen, I. (2008). 'Geographical Differences in Blood Capital?' Journal of Economic & Social Geography, 99 (4): 483-496. Donation and Philanthropy in the Netherlands: What Role for Social

Bekkers, R., Völker, B., Van der Gaag, M. & Flap, H.D. (2008). "Social Networks of Participants in Voluntary Associations". In: Lin, N. & B. Erickson (Eds.). Social Capital: Advances in Research. Oxford University

Bekkers, R. (2008). Volunteerism'. Pp. 641-643 in: Darity, William A. Jr. Macmillan Reference USA. (Ed.). International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences, 2nd Edition. Detroit

Bekkers, R. (2007). 'Measuring Altruistic Behavior in Surveys: The All-Or-

Nothing Dictator Game.' Survey Research Methods, 1(3): 139-144.

Bekkers, R. & Wiepking, P. (2007). 'Generosity and Philanthropy: A Foundation. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1015507. Literature Review'. Report commissioned by the John Templeton

Bekkers, R. (2007). 'Intergenerational Transmission of Volunteerism.' Acta Sociologica, 50 (2): 99-114.

Bekkers, R. & Crutzen, O. (2007). "Just keep it simple: A field experiment on

Bekkers, R. (2006). 'Traditional and Health-Related Philanthropy: The Role fundraising letters". International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing, 12 (4): 371-378.

of Resources and Personality'. Social Psychology Quarterly, 68 (4): 349-366.

Question?. Nonprofit & Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 35 (3): 533-540. Bekkers, R. (2006). "Effectiviteit van subsidies voor giften aan goede Bekkers, R., & Wiepking, P. (2006). To Give or Not to Give...That's the

Bekkers, R. (2005). Participation in Voluntary Associations: Relations with Resources, Personality, and Political Values'. Political Psychology, 26: 439.

doelen". ESB, 91(4477): 8-10.

Bekkers, R. (2004). Giving and Volunteering in the Netherlands: Sociological and Psychological Perspectives. (Dissertation Utrecht University).

Bekkers, R. (2003). "Trust, Accreditation, and Philanthropy in Netherlands'. Nonprofit & Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 32, 596-615. the

Gilder, Dick de, T.N.M. Schuyt, and Melissa Breedijk. 2005. Effects of an Employee Volunteering Program on the Work Force: The ABN AMRO Case. Journal of Business Ethics 61:143-152.

GINS95-07. (1995-2009). Dataset: Giving in the Netherlands Study 1995-2007. VU University Amsterdam.

James III, Russell N. & Pamala Wiepking. (2008). A Comparative Analysis of Educational Donors in the Netherlands. Accepted for publication in the International Journal of Educational Advancement 8(2).

Van Lange, P.A.M., Bekkers, R., Schuyt, T.N.M. & Van Vugt, M. (2007). to Noble Causes'. Basic & Applied Social Psychology, 29(4): 375-384. From Games to Giving: Social Value Orientation Predicts Donations

> Leene, G.J.F., and T.N.M. Schuyt. (2008). The Power of the Stranger. Framework. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishers. Structures and Dynamics of Social Interventions: a Theoretical

Meijer, May-May & T.N.M. Schuyt. (2005). Corporate Social Performance as a Bottom Line for Consumers. Business and Society 44 (4):442-461.

Wiepking, Pamala & Ineke Maas. forthcoming. Resources That Make You Generous: Effects of Human, Financial, and Social Resources on Charitable Giving. Accepted for publication in Social Forces.

Wiepking, Pamala. 2008. For the Love of Mankind. A Sociological Study on Charitable Giving. (Dissertation VU University Amsterdam).

for the Relative Generosity of Lower Income Households. Voluntas 18(4): Wiepking, Pamala. 2007. The Philanthropic Poor: In Search of Explanations